

Wiltshire Council

Cabinet

3 July 2018

Geoff Whiffen - Trowbridge

**To Councillor Toby Sturgis, Cabinet Member for Spatial Planning,
Development Management and Property**

Question (4)

- (a) Can cabinet please make public the results of the wildlife scoping report in relation to site 3565.
- (b) Could I please have an explanation as to why my questions on 15th may, how many written objection how many email objection and how many comments in favour of site 3565 were received also how many signed the petition, have not been answered.

Response

- a) The evidence for the site selection process incorporates consideration of wildlife and ecology considerations. In particular ecology and wildlife evidence for proposed allocation H2.6 Southwick Court (SHELAA site 3565) can be found in the Sustainability Appraisal for Trowbridge Community Area, specifically page 1150 of the following document -

<https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/documents/s143989/Sustainability%20Appraisal%20Report%20Annex%20I%20MAY%202018.pdf>

It can also be found in the Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) June 2017 and the addendum to that document from May 2018, which can be found on the following links:

Habitat Regulations Assessment June 2017:

<https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/documents/s143825/Assessment%20under%20Habitat%20Regulations%20June%202017%2015052018%20Cabinet.pdf>

Addendum to Assessment under the Habitats Regulations (date of addendum 4th May 2018):

<https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/documents/s143825/Assessment%20under%20Habitat%20Regulations%20June%202017%2015052018%20Cabinet.pdf>

- b) The Cabinet question on the 15th May requested data on the number of objections that were received by electronic and paper means. Data was provided on the overall number of electronic and paper responses for Southwick Court. A differentiation was not made between whether those responses were in support or objection. This data is provided below.

The figures below are based on the number of individual consultees that have responded to the pre-submission consultation. Their representations have also been split up into individual comments (so one consultee may have made numerous comments) – figures

can also be provided by comment if necessary. In total 318 comments were made on Southwick Court by 88 consultees.

Number of consultees objecting to Southwick Court - 81

Of these:

34 were by email

42 were by letter

5 were direct into the consultation portal on the web

Number of consultees supporting Southwick Court - 2

Of these:

2 were by email

0 were by letter

0 were direct into the consultation portal on the web

5 consultees made neutral comments or provided technical information.

Signed Petition: None received